Record of proceedings dated 22.06.2015

O. P. No.1 of 2015

Garrison Engineer, Hakimpet. Vs TSSPDCL Petition seeking deemed distribution license.

Sri. S. Khaleel AE / E/M representative for the petitioner and Sri. Aswini Kumar Advocate on behalf of Sri. Y Rama Rao counsel for the respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner stated the person responsible for handling this matter has gone on leave due to demise of his near relative, therefore, sought adjournment by a month. The counsel for the respondent expressed his inability to be available between 18th July and 30th July.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

 Call on 03.08.2015

 At 11:00 AM

 Sd/ Sd/

 Member
 Chairman

O. P. No.8 of 2015

Garrison Engineer, Dundigal. Vs TSSPDCL

Petition seeking deemed distribution license.

Sri. S. Khaleel AE / E/M representative for the petitioner in O P No 1 of 2015 and Sri. Aswini Kumar Advocate on behalf of Sri. Y Rama Rao counsel for the respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner stated the person responsible for handling this matter in O P No 1 of 2015 has gone on leave due to demise of his near relative, since it is connected case and of a sister unit, therefore, sought adjournment by a month. The counsel for the respondent expressed his inability to be available between 18th July and 30th July.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Call on 03.08.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

O. P. No. 9 of 2015

Garrison Engineer, Golconda. Vs TSSPDCL Petition seeking deemed distribution license.

Sri. Aswini Kumar Advocate on behalf of Sri. Y Rama Rao counsel for the respondent is present. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The representative of the petitioner O P No. 1 of 2015, Sri. S. Khaleel stated that the person responsible for handling this matter in that case has gone on leave due to demise of his near relative, since this matter is of another unit and connected to the matter in O P No 1 of 2015, therefore, sought adjournment by a month. The counsel for the respondent expressed his inability to be available between 18th July and 30th July.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Call on 03.08.2015

At 11:00 AM
Sd/Sd/Member

Call on 03.08.2015

At 01:00 AM
Chairman

O. P. No. 2 of 2015 M/s ITC Ltd. vs TSLDC

Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking direction to the SLDC to give accreditation to the petitioner's renewable energy project.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. Aswini Kumar advocate on behalf of Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondents is present. The counsel for the respondent expressed his inability to be available between 18th July and 30th July and sought adjournment of the matter. As there was no representation on two

consecutive occasions for the hearing, the commission sought to dismiss the petition for default. However it has been brought to commission's notice that a letter has been addressed requesting for grant adjournment as the TSNREDC is yet inspect its site.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but directed the office to issue a notice specifically making it clear that the matter will be decided exparte or dismissed for default in case no appearance is made on the next date of hearing and arguments are completed. Adjourned.

Call on 03..08.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

Sd/-

Member

O. P. No. 3 of 2015

M/s Geo Syndicate Power Private Ltd. vs TSNPDCL

Petition seeking determination of tariff for the supply of electricity generated from geothermal energy to respondent (APNPDCL now TSNPDCL) pursuant to Section 62, 64, 86.1 (a), 86.1 (b) and other applicable provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri. V. G. Sastry Advocate on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner and Sr. Aswini Kumar Advocate on behalf of Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondent are present. The Advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner sought adjournment of the matter as the counsel is unable to attend hearing due to personal reasons. The counsel for the respondent expressed his inability to be available between 18th July and 30th July.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents, the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

O. P. No. 4 of 2015

M/s Gayathri Sugars Ltd. Vs Govt. of Telangana and TSNPDCL

Petition seeking determination of tariff for the project as the tariff mentioned in the power purchase agreement is unviable.

Sri. S. Rambabu counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Aswini Kumar Advocate on behalf of Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner sought interim orders atelast on the matter is ought to be adjourned time to time. The counsel for the respondents sought adjournment of the matter by three weeks as the officers of the DISCOM in the process of compiling the data and there is sudden change of officers due to some administrative reasons, hence further time required by them to submit arguments.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents, the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Call on 16.07.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Sd/-Sd/-Member Member Chairman

O. P. No. 5 of 2015

M/s Knowledge Infrastructure Systems Pvt. Ltd. Vs TSSPDCL & TSPCC Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 claiming certain amounts due on account of supply of electricity under short term purchase for months January,

February and March, 2013.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan and Sri. M K Viswanath counsel for the petitioner and J Aswini Kumar Advocate for Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the respondents sought adjournment of the matter stating that he needs some time to get prepared as there is sudden change of officers due to some administrative reasons. The counsel for the petitioner stated that he is ready with the argument and so prepared to file written arguments.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents, the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

> Call on 16.07.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/-Member

Sd/-Member

O. P. No. 6 of 2015

M/s Rithwik Power Projects Ltd. Vs TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the licensee for payment of tariff for the additional capacity of 1.5 MW at the rate being paid to existing 6 MW power plant.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan and Sri. M K Viswanath counsel for the petitioner and J Aswini Kumar Advocate for Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the respondents sought adjournment of the matter stating that he needs some time to get prepared as there is sudden change of officers due to some administrative reasons. The counsel for the petitioner stated he is ready with the argument and so prepared to file written arguments.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents, the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Call on 16.07.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/-Member

Sd/-Member

O. P. No. 7 of 2015

M/s Shalivahana (MSW) Green Energy Ltd. Vs TSLDC

Petition filed questioning the refusal of grant of accreditation for the 12 MW MSW project under RPPO Regulation.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan and Sri. M K Viswanath counsel for the petitioner and J Aswini Kumar Advocate for Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the respondents sought adjournment of the matter stating that he needs some time to get prepared as there is sudden change of officers due to some administrative reasons. The counsel for the petitioner stated he is ready with the argument and so prepared to file written arguments.

The counsel for the petitioner is directed to take steps to change the tile of the case from APSLDC to TSSLDC

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents, the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Call on 16.07.2015

At 11:00 AM

Sd/-Sd/-Member Member

Sd/-Chairman

O. P. No. 11 of 2015

M/s SLT Power & Infrastructure Projects Pvt.Ltd. vs Govt. of Telangana, TSTRANSCO, TSSLPDCL & NREDCAP

Petition seeking directions to apply the tariff determined on 22.06.2013 in respect of the industrial waste project of 3.5 MW of the petitioner in terms of order of Hon'ble ATE dt.20.12.2012.

Sri. Vijaya Bhasker Reddy Managing Director of the petitioner and Sr. Pratap Kumar counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Aswini Kumar Advocate on behalf of Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner sought interim orders atelast on the matter is ought to be adjourned time to time. The counsel for the respondents sought adjournment of the matter by three weeks as the officers of the DISCOM in the process of compiling the data. The counsel for the respondents sought time make the submissions as he is handicapped with the assistance of the officers they have been transfereed or relieved on administrative grounds.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents, the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

> Call on 16.07.2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/-Member

Sd/-Chairman

Member

Sd/-

O. P. No. 12 of 2015

M/s Kakatiya Cement Sugar and Industries Ltd. Vs TSPCC, TSTRANSCO & TSNPDCL

Petition seeking determination of tariff / power purchase price in respect of the power supplied by bagasse co-generation projects by using coal during non-crushing period in terms of G.O.Rt.No. 43 dt. 13.03.2014 of Energy (Power –II) Dept.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. Aswinin Kumar Advocate Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondents is present. The counsel for the respondents stated that there is no representation on the last occasion and this time also.

The commission having noticed that there is no representation on two consecutive occasions dismiss the petition for default.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O. P. No. 13 of 2015

M/s Global Energy Pvt. Ltd. Vs M/s Ushdev Engitech Ltd.

Petition seeking Intra State Trading License for the State of Telangana

Petition to implead the petition in I.A. as respondents in OP No. 13 of 2015

Sri. P. Vikram and R Mario counsel for the petitioner, Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the Discoms and B Tagore counsel for the implead petitioner are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the DISCOM has not been made party and it is not concerned in the case. The petitioner has filed the counter affidavit to the implead petition. The counsel for the implead petitioner sought adjournment of the matter as he seeks to file a reply to the counter affidavit of the petitioner.

The Commission agreed to adjourn the hearing, but made clear that no further adjournment would be sought by the petitioner or the respondents, the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Sd/-

Member

Call on 16.07.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/-Member

O. P. No. 74 of 2015

M/s Hetero Wind Power Ltd. Vs TSTRANSCO, APTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition seeking execution of tariff order dated 09.05.2014 with regard to exemption of transmission and wheeling charges for the petitioner's wind project.

Sri. M. Sreeramchandra Murthy consultant for the petitioner and Sr. J Aswini Kumar Advocate for Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondents are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that the counsel is out of station at Banglore, hence sought adjournment of the case. He also stated that the petitioner has filed the necessary amendment petition for amending the cause title. The Counsel for the respondents stated that they are yet to receive the amendment petition and therefore sought adjournment of the matter.

The Commission on being informed by office that the approval to take the petition on file was given only previous working day agreed to adjourn the hearing. It directed the office to issue notice to the parties on the said petition immediately and but made clear that no further adjournment should be sought by the petitioner or the respondents the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments. Adjourned.

Call on 16.07.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/-Member

Sd/-Member

R. P. (SR) No. 1 of 2015 TSTRANSCO vs Nil

Petition seeking review of the order dated 09.05.2014 determining the transmission tariff for the third control period of 2014 - 2015

Sri. J Aswhini Kumar counsel for the petitioner is petitioner. The counsel for the petitioner stated he is seeking review of the tariff order passed by the erstwhile Commission (APERC). The Commission pointed out that the matter amendment of the title and required that the petitioner to file the same by filing a fresh petition before it is taken up for hearing.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Chairman